UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,998	08/18/2003	John D. Hatlestad	GUID.058PA	2963
	7590 10/01/200 ORTH & FUNK, LLC	EXAMINER		
8009 34TH AVE S.			MUSSELMAN, TIMOTHY A	
SUITE 125 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,998	HATLESTAD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TIMOTHY MUSSELMAN	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12,14 and 35-46 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,14 and 35-46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the see set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/19/2008 has been entered, and claims 1-12, 14, and 35-46 are pending. Claims 13, 15-34, and 47-96 have been cancelled previously.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the relevant portion of 35 U.S.C. 103 that forms the basis for the rejections made in this section of the office action;

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Claims 1-12, 14, and 35-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen (US 5,520,176) in combination with Mazer et al. (US 2004/0133079) and 'Aircraft Noise and Sleep Disturbance: Final Report', prepared by the Civil Aviation Authority London on behalf of the Department of Trade, August 1980.

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claims 1, 14, and 35-36, and 46, Cohen discloses a system and methods for sleep quality data collection and analysis utilizing various measured physiological parameters. See col. 2: 33-59. Cohen fails to teach of utilizing non-physiological parameters in the research process. However, a *research* study conducted by the Civil Aviation Authority London published in 1980 pertains explicitly to this very subject. See page two, in the report summary, wherein it is described how aircraft noise was *measured* in various London neighborhoods on aircraft approach paths to the two main London airports in order to better understand how the noise interfered with the *sleep processes* of residents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate background noise measurements (i.e. non-physiological parameter measurements) in the manner of the 1980 CAA *sleep quality research* project, into the *sleep research* System of Cohen, in order to expand the usefulness of the research system of Cohen to include cause and effect data pertaining to sleep problems.

Cohen additionally fails to teach wherein the collection and storing of sleep quality data occurs on the implantable device, and wherein the implantable device performs the evaluation, and also wherein the non-physiological data is collected external to the patient and transmitted to the implantable device (claims 36 and 46). However, Mazer discloses an implantable device utilized for research purposes that measures various parameters. See paragraphs 0035-0036. This citation describes an implantable analysis system, wherein the data can be collected, stored, and processed internally, externally, or transmitted between internal and external system components. It also discloses wherein other data involved in the analysis can be transmitted to the internal implantable device (algorithims, expert knowledge, etc.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include such a distributed sensory and processing system, and particularly to have the processing occur internally to the patient, to improve the product in a manner as taught by Mazer, by allowing the device to process data and thus reducing the need for frequent external data analysis by a human (i.e. the device would transmit a report, rather than simply raw data).

Application/Control Number: 10/642,998

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding Claims 2-6 and 8-12, Cohen further discloses the detection and determination of metrics pertaining to; cardio-vascular conditions (Claim 2), see col. 6: 12-20; respiratory and disordered breathing conditions (claims 3, 11, 39, and 43), see col. 4: 3-10 and col. 4: 41-45; muscle system and movement disorder conditions (claims 4, 12, 40, and 44), see col. 8: 38-45; blood chemistry conditions (claim 5), see col. 5: 33-35; nervous system conditions (claim 6), See col. 8: 38-54; contextual conditions (claim 8), see the provided citations for claims 2-6; and data pertaining to sleep stages and sleep disruptions (claims 9-10 and 37-38), See col. 7: 20-25.

Regarding claim 7, the detection of environmental conditions (e.g. background noise) for sleep research is an obvious variation of Cohen in view of the CAA report as described above with regard to claim 1.

Regarding claims 41-42, Cohen further discloses determining and trending the measured sleep quality metrics over time. See col. 3: 17-37.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments dated 6/19/2008 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy Musselman whose telephone number is (571)272-1814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 6:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571)272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,998 Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. M./ Acting Examiner of Art Unit 3714 /Robert E Pezzuto/ Supervisory Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714